IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. CIV S-05-0271 FCD JFM P

7

5

6

8

10 JOHN LISCHEFSKI,

11 Plaintiff,

VS.

13 LT. SWEIGERT, et al.,

14 Defendant

Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

26

12

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On June 7, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed

objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Case 2:05-cv-00271-FCD-JFM Document 37 Filed 07/17/06 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: |
|----|--|
| 2 | 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 7, 2006, are adopted in full; |
| 3 | 2. Defendants' February 9, 2006 motion to dismiss is granted; and |
| 4 | 3. This action is dismissed without prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to exhaus |
| 5 | administrative remedies prior to suit. |
| 6 | DATED:July 17, 2006 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR. |
| 9 | United States District Judge |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |